Qualitative risk level estimation of Business Process Re-engineering efforts and effects (With special reference to IT-sector)

Anita Venaik

Assistant Professor, Amity Business School, Amity University, Noida, India

Received: 27-January-2015; Revised: 20-March-2015; Accepted: 26-March-2015 ©2015 ACCENTS

Abstract

Change in the process involves updation. When business is going to change its business process, the feasibility is prior most aspect to be considered. The humonocology, technology, and organizationlism play a vital role in the field of new updates and derivatives of the business process to be reengineered. There are various effects where the process needs to be considered as the necessary requirement of change and demands, a change which is feasible to all the upcoming requirement of the business, which is internal as well as externals. The effectiveness of the business solution is judged on this pyramid. The work performed under every new task in the organization evolves on these issues only which brings compatibility and effectiveness in the business. As change is eternal and Business process engineering is the mantra to enforce successful change.

Keywords

BRR, Change management, humonocology, technology, and organizationlism.

1. Introduction

In the era of globalization the effectiveness in business is at demand in every place of the world and is increasing day by day, new conditions are formulated in the market place which have increased new possibilities in business environment and the effective regular evaluation, equations are changing day by day in the fast forward implementation of new sources and the resources as per the business needs and availability which depends upon the factors like price, service &selection. The process which is implementing under the business process reengineering is normally not a time consuming process but on an average the time taken by a BPR process to implement is between six months to one year.

Table 1: BPR Project Stage,	Source: PWC report
2012	

	Months					
BPR Project Stages	1	2	3	4	5	6
Preparation & coordination for BPR						
Business modeling & measurements						
Management of change & controls						
Technical design of the solution						
Personnel adjustment & training						
Realization & transfer of changes						

Various Models use in Business process Reengineering

Business process reengineering is well known for its models which are working under different situation and under different automations of control handling.

Single operated model

In single operated model of BPR the return of the ith value of the given substitute is generated which is the prime face of the risk undergoes in the situation of arithmetic averages follow up with estimation come under how to find out the number of substitute in one organization which require change in business process, Under any of the observation it is very difficult to follow up with the effects and measurement of the risk in single operated model of BPR as the single operated model is known for its high rate of risk while implementing on platforms of

^{*}Author for correspondence

the organization. The single operated model is then operated with this equation shown below:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}} = \sqrt{\left[\frac{\sum \{R\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\}^2}{n}\right]}$$

Where R_i = the return of the ith portfolio; R(x) = the arithmetic average of all portfolios; n = number of portfolios;

Double operated model

The double operated model follow through the low level of risk as compare to the single operated as in double operated model the check in ratio is directly twice as compare to the other model it is much secure to implement in one go as it is successfully adapt in maximum of the cases when implemented in the business development model of any company it is represented as below:

SV (t) = $\sum R_i \sigma_t p_i (t - R_i)^2$

Where σ_t = standard deviation; P_i = the probability of actual return being R_i ; t = the target return;

Thrice operated Model

In thrice operated model of the BPR the value of the risk is estimated while tested three times as compare to the other factors and ratios, the value at the risk is then identify with various measures taken in the consideration of factors affecting the liability and the processes of the application which are then taken earlier as if the risk level is high in implementing the process it is recommended to identify the observation table and then follow the various circumstances like the value of risk, platform independencies, time of implementation and error while identifying the variance in thrice operated model of BPR as shown below:

VAR (t) = PF * K%

Where t = observation time period;PF = portfolio;

K% = $\sum \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{RL}})$ all occurrences of return less than K% add up to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{RL}}$ of the total number of t; $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{RL}} = 100$ % - desirable confident interval;

Some of the main failure issues in BPR

- 1. Complex structures.
- 2. Very expensive.

- 3. Un adequate IT networking
- 4. Lack of proper management of implementation steps.
- 5. Lack of improvement.
- 6. Platform independency of other process.

The effectiveness in the process changes from one level to another it is necessary to say that the rate of failure of the business is very high. A company need to mainly focus in the implementation of BPR as per the requirement in their organization only if there is a need of change in the system and if it is not necessary then there is no need of taking risk in the organization without any reason of fulfillment of resources in the organization. There are possibilities that the system will be generating problems will it shows the correct simulation of the task perform by the individual or the group in the organization, These measure also plays a vital role in the implementation and the prime factor of the responses which are taken place with the effective consideration of changes and updations. According to the failure rate in business process reengineering the value and efforts of failure are unique and always applicable as per the requirement of the organization in which the data varies from one place to another with various distances and follow up all the necessary information is then removed from the list. Differently from failure rate, the success of business process is also one of the key factors which is responsible for the changes in the company environment and the evidence of change is great.

2. Literature Review & Research Methodology

Implementation of BPR is very unique and it is recommended to put the sub sequential part of their fundamental analysis with this report. Business Process[1] is a theory of change in various organization as per the requirement occur in the organization to change the process which is then creating different sort of problem while analyze and identify the areas of its implementation[2]. It is essential to add value for the clients who are using the services and products of the organization by providing them a goal with appropriate inputs from clients and sufficient outputs for creating values in business. Business processes is getting the number of achievement and truth of the activities which are very essential for every organization who are hungry for providing values to their customers[3]. According to

[4] Business Process Reengineering (BPR) is the analysis and redesign of workflow within and between enterprises. Timphy et al. [5] defined reengineering as a fundamental of all other requirements in the true purpose of identification, analysis, demand and the supply of the client and the customers who want to place a right place in the change management of different association and merger of all in one step. BPR can also be defined as a condition of fulfillment in the environment of the changes when it is very necessary to change ,the steps moving forward in a certain situation and certain parameter for the development of evaluation step and form an environment of fezzy transcription in the total transformation of a business, this is working as a sharpening tool which performs against the lack of data and time in the organization, it is also necessary for the collective positioning of the data source in the environment where reengineering is must [6]. This involves throwing away the old processes and starting a new[7]. The organizations need to backtrack and reexamine their very roots as if the requirement of analysis and requirement of give and take arise the evaluation of the BPR taken place[8]. The BPR is the evaluation and amendment of strategy, process, technology, organization, and culture [9]. This radical process includes plummeting organizational goals that are longer valid.

Change in Process of Organizations

The issues which are very important as compare to technical issues are the aspects which form a system of requirement which is providing the impact of success and failure in the projects totally related to the business environment of the organizations and are necessary for the organizational change. To manage the single edge resistance is very difficult as compare to the organization cutting edge resistance in the field of BPR. Change is necessary to maintain a competitive edge, but is not always a smooth process. To manage the single edge resistance is very difficult as compare to the organization cutting edge resistance in the field of BPR[10]. In [11] concurred that the employees resist because of the uncertain

International Journal of Advanced Computer Research ISSN (Print): 2249-7277 ISSN (Online): 2277-7970 Volume-5 Issue-18 March-2015

future. It has been noted that BPR is a process of leading people as per the requirement of the organization whenever the need of change arise in the organization then it should be understandable to all.

3. Research Methods & Procedures

The research require a unique tool for the critical analysis of the factors used in the report, the uniqueness of the tools is then judged with the effects of the results varies from one activity to other tool is used to identify the risk level and estimation of risk in BPR project with their efforts and effect on other projects in the same organization. This requires critical analysis of the factors responsible for the required research.

3.1.1 Tools used for analysis of data

The tool developed is a structured questionnaire and the software used in this research is SPSS for the analysis purpose, internet, mail accounts of respondents, and telephonic feedback for getting primary and secondary data.

3.1.2 Objectives of the project:

- To identify the process used for implementation of BPR.
- To find out success and failure ratio of business process reengineering in IT industry.
- To identify the risk level while implementing the Business process reengineering.

3.1.3 Data Analysis & Findings

Q1: This section contains various questions which are related to the personal factors use in the organization.

(5=strongly agree, 4=agree, 3=neutral, 2=disagree, 1=strongly disagree)

International Journal of Advanced Computer Research ISSN (Print): 2249-7277 ISSN (Online): 2277-7970 Volume-5 Issue-18 March-2015

Table 2: Bar graph of caution before adopting

	Statistics							
		You can competently adopt any IT project.	You usually take caution before adopting any new initiative	You usually take caution before adopting new IT projects	The new IT project would/will lead to staff Layoff/reduction			
Ν	Valid	36	36	36	36			
	Missing	0	0	0	0			
	Mean	4.47	4.06	3.94	4.58			
	Std. Deviation	.736	.791	.955	.554			
Percentiles	25	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00			
	50	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00			
	75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00			

Frequency Table You can competently adopt any IT project.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	neutral	5	13.9	13.9	13.9
	Agree	9	25.0	25.0	38.9
	Strongly Agree	22	61.1	61.1	100.0
	Total	36	100.0	100.0	

You usually take caution before adopting any new initiative

-		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	neutral	10	27.8	27.8	27.8
	Agree	14	38.9	38.9	66.7
	Strongly Agree	12	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	36	100.0	100.0	

You usually take caution before adopting new IT projects

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	disagree	2	5.6	5.6	5.6
	neutral	11	30.6	30.6	36.1
	Agree	10	27.8	27.8	63.9
	Strongly Agree	13	36.1	36.1	100.0
	Total	36	100.0	100.0	

The new IT project would/will lead to staff Layoff/reduction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	neutral	1	2.8	2.8	2.8
	Agree	13	36.1	36.1	38.9
	Strongly Agree	22	61.1	61.1	100.0
	Total	36	100.0	100.0	

Q2: This section contains various questions which are related to the various factors use in the organization. (5=strongly agree, 4=agree, 3=neutral, 2=disagree, 1=strongly disagree)

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
The organization Mission was	36	3	5	4.31	.525
redefined prior to Business Process					
Reengineering					
The IT project intended to better	36	2	5	4.25	.770
serve clients					
The users were/are aware of plans to	36	2	5	3.72	.701
Reengineer Process					
User requirements regarding the	36	1	5	2.25	1.180
new project were fully collected					
Valid N (listwise)	36				

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics according to Q2

T-test					
One-Sample Statistics					

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
The organization Mission was redefined prior to Business Process Reengineering	36	4.31	.525	.087
The IT project intended to better serve clients		4.25	.770	.128
The users were/are aware of plans to Reengineer Process	36	3.72	.701	.117
User requirements regarding the new project were fully collected	36	2.25	1.180	.197

Q3: This section contains various questions which are related to the leadership style use in the organization.

Table 4: Bar graph on managers constructively use their subordinates ideas

Descriptive Statistics							
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation		
Do managers usually share vision and information with their subordinates?	36	1	5	3.56	1.027		
Is there open communication between supervisors and their subordinates?		1	5	3.42	1.131		
Do managers place confidence and trust in their subordinates?	36	1	5	3.17	1.108		
Do managers constructively use their subordinates' ideas?	36	1	5	2.19	1.037		
Valid N (listwise)	36						

Q4: This section contains various questions which are related to the working environment use in the organization.

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Are there friendly interactions	36	3	5	4.47	.736
between coworkers?					
Do coworkers have confidence	36	2	5	3.94	.955
in and trust each other?					
Is teamwork the typical way to	36	1	5	4.28	1.003
solve problems?					
Do coworkers feel as if they are	36	1	5	2.39	1.103
working in a cooperative					
environment?					
Is there performance recognition	36	1	5	4.28	1.003
among coworkers?					
Valid N (listwise)	36				

Table 5: Bar graph on working environment in the organization Descriptive Statistics

Q5: This section contains various questions which are related to the commitment of top level management in the organization

Table 6: Descriptive Statistics according to Q5

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Does top management	36	1	4	2.58	.937
generally have realistic					
expectation of the projects?					
Does top management usually	36	2	5	3.56	.652
have sufficient knowledge					
about the projects?					
Does top management frequent	36	1	5	2.06	1.013
communicate with project team					
and users?					
Valid N (list wise)	36				

Does top management frequent communicate with project team and users? * Do co-workers feel as if they are working in a cooperative environment? Cross-tabulation **Q6:** This section contains various questions which are related to the managerial support factors use in the organization

 Table 7: Descriptive Statistics according to Q6

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Does the reward system adjust	36	3	5	4.33	.717
to serve the employees after the					
changes?					
Is the communication channel	36	1	4	3.00	.828
efficient to convey necessary					
information?					
Does the performance	36	3	5	4.33	.717
measurement adequately					
correspond to the changes?					
Are the employees empowered	36	1	5	2.19	1.037
to make decisions?					
Valid N (listwise)	36				

International Journal of Advanced Computer Research ISSN (Print): 2249-7277 ISSN (Online): 2277-7970 Volume-5 Issue-18 March-2015

4. Conclusion

Most research done on BPR has focused on approaches to document business processes, and on various techniques used to identify and select potential candidates for reengineering.

Limited research has been conducted in the area of assessing the risk of such efforts, especially on quantitative risk estimation. By using real world data collected from organizations that have experienced radical changes through BPR efforts, a research model based on triangular fuzzy numbers has been developed and validated here in the current research. The resultant research model was used to develop a tool that allows any organization considering BPR to quantitatively estimate the potential risk level of those BPR efforts before committing resources to BPR. But, no such quantitative BPR risk assessment tool was available. Having such a quantitative BPR risk assessment tool will improve management's a priori insights into the potential outcomes of BPR. Continuing work is expected to show that such insights will improve the overall success rate of BPR initiatives.

Some of the findings provide the results which are as follows:-

- The top management of the companies have a risk level with them while they are thinking about the implementation of business process reengineering, key issues of this risk are-
- Management of human resources while implementing the BPR as if employees working on the project are not consistent to the organization then implementation may be affected. Also, the relationship between the top management and workers create problem in implementation of BPR.
- The research shows that the managers in the organization do not want to change the business process from one end to another because of certain reasons, like afraid of losing command on the projects if new business process will be in high technology and they are not capable of working on it then this will cause problem in front of the workers.

• Failure rate of BPR in other companies also increases the level of risk in implanting the BPR.

5. Analysis of Findings

The findings of the research shows that the qualitative risk level estimation is more responsible as compare to the quantitative risk level estimation in the projects of business process reengineering as per the results the qualitative result show this data:

- The top level management is not comfortable with the theory of change in business processes.
- The top level managers in various organizations having fear of losing their authority in the organization because of which they do not take participation in reengineering of business process.
- Because of the platform independency of business process reengineering the top management is not happy to start working on the projects in BPR, as it create a feel of co-workers to get promotion.
- High level risk estimation is then found in the qualitative estimation in business process reengineering.

As per the results of the given situation we must say that it is far most difficult to implement the business process reengineering in the organization because of the casual approach of the top management and the fear of loss of time behind the change are some of the main reasons. With this node of results we can say that business process reengineering is a node of risk only for organizations who want to change it time by time.

References

- Asgarkhani, Mehdi, and Brad Patterson. "Information and Business Process Reengineering through Application of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs)." International Conference on Recent Trends in Computer and Information Engineering. 2012.
- [2] Hamer, Michael, and James Champy. "Reengineering the corporation." London: Nicholas Brealey (1993).
- [3] Simon, Kai A. "Towards a theoretical framework for Business Process Reengineering." Studies in

the Use of Information Technologies, Department of Informatics, Göteborg University (1994).

- [4] Baltzan, Paige, and Amy Phillips. Business Driven Technology with Premium Content Card. McGraw-Hill, Inc., 2009.
- [5] Goksoy, Asli, Beliz Ozsoy, and Ozalp Vayvay. "Business process reengineering: strategic tool for managing organizational change an application in a multinational company." International Journal of Business and Management 7.2 (2012): p89.
- [6] Brocke, Jan vom, and Michael Rosemann. Handbook on Business Process Management 2: Strategic Alignment, Governance, People and Culture. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2014.
- [7] Harmon, Paul, and Celia Wolf. "The state of business process management." Business Process Trends (2008).
- [8] Hayes, John. "The Theory and Practice of Change." (2002).
- [9] Dennis, A. R., Daniels, R. M. Jr., Hayes, G. and Nunamaker, J. F. Jr., Methodology-driven use of automated support in business process reengineering. Journal of Management Information Systems, 1993, 0,117-138.

International Journal of Advanced Computer Research ISSN (Print): 2249-7277 ISSN (Online): 2277-7970 Volume-5 Issue-18 March-2015

- [10] George, Jennifer M., Gareth R. Jones, and William C. Sharbrough. Understanding and managing organizational behavior. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1996.
- [11] Palmer, Brien. Making change work: practical tools for overcoming human resistance to change. ASQ Quality Press, 2004.



Dr. Anita Venaik had completed her B.S.C Electronics, PGDCA, M.S.C Computer Science, MBA and PhD. Her doctoral work is in the area of knowledge management. She has authored a series of 8 books for the first stage of computer learner's. Having 5 papers as international publication and

8 papers as national publication to her credit. She is Board of editors for ABR, International journal of Amity Business School. She is carrying a 19 years of work Experience, Worked for 3 years with companies like Coca-Cola, PCL and 16 years of work experience in academia. Email: avenaik@amity.edu.