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Abstract

Eye blink rate (EBR) estimation is one of the informative cues and challengeable areas in eye-based systems that has a wide range of applications like the detecting a driver’s drowsiness, anxiety analysis, diseases detection and etc. This paper presents an adaptive blink rate estimation algorithm. The advantages of this algorithm are simplicity, accuracy, fastness, low computational cost and robustness against lighting conditions. This algorithm is based on simple image processing techniques. The first step of blink detection method is eye detection. To accomplish this task, we suppose that a fairly large face image is available. Each frame of the input video is processed and the location of the eye is found. The next step calculates a value to determine the state of eye. Our method uses this value to EBR estimation. This paper presents the accuracy of new algorithm by providing a data set of several people and comparing the results with some of the strong relevant methods. The experimental results show that the proposed method has overall accuracy of 98.91%. The average blink rate estimation time of new algorithm for a sample is less than 80 micro seconds, which makes it suitable for real-time applications.
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1. Introduction

The eye has a wide range of applications in computer science area. Eye blink rate (EBR) estimation is one of the informative cues and challengeable areas in computer vision. EBR or the frequency of eye blinks can be obtained by counting the number of eye blinks per minute, which can be measured using facial electrodes [1] or a video camera. EBR estimation has a wide range of applications such as detection of physiological or psychological conditions of human disease [2], detecting a driver’s drowsiness [3] [4], health recommendation systems [5], depression detection [6], stress detection [7], predicting reinforcement learning [8] and otherwise. In addition to these applications, EBR estimation has potential to be used in many other applications, such as aliveness and alertness detection, mental focus estimation, teacher effectiveness, lie detection and anxiety analysis.

Several eye state recognition methods have been developed recently. Although these methods appear promising in the field of eye state recognition, the eye blinking is very fast and these methods wouldn’t be useful for blink rate estimation due to the rigid nature of computing. Beside, many of these methods require high quality images and are sensitive with respect to illumination changes. This paper presents a low computational method based on an innovative method for blink detection [9] that uses a simple low resolution webcam. It requires no offline training and there is no dependency on locating specific eye features. Instead, it is based on general appearance of eye. This method is fast enough and can detect blink rates in variable lighting conditions.

The organization of the rest of this paper is as follows. Section II examines related works. Section III presents the proposed algorithm. Section IV
2. Related Works

In comparison to head-mounted eye blink detection, remote detection has several advantages such as ease of use and non-invasiveness. A system that can remotely detect blinks under varying light conditions without additional hardware such as infrared lamps is more healthy and suitable for a wide range of applications. This system should be capable of operating with low-resolution cameras under typical indoor environments. There are several eye tracking systems that can track eyes under these conditions but most of them are unsuitable for EBR estimation. Some eye blinks detection methods are presented next.

Morris et al. [10] introduced a real time blink detection algorithm based on the calculation of a variance map and analysis of eye corners. The precision of this method is about 95% True Positive. Sirohey et al. [11] proposed an eye blink detection algorithm by localizing eye features and analyzing their movements. It uses normal flow to estimate the motion information. They simulated head movement by using an affine model based on head motion to extract eye. This algorithm can track iris and eyelid movements with more than 90% accuracy and it is suitable for off-line applications. Later, they introduced a new method that used a deterministic finite state machine (DFSM) with three states to detect eye blink [12].

Chau and Betke [13] proposed an eye blink detection algorithm with overall precision of more than 95%. Their method used correlation with an open eye template and operated in real-time. This algorithm automatically adapted to large head movements. Pan et al. [14] presented a real time method to detect the degree of eye closure. It used a boosted classifier and the models for changing eye states by Hidden Markov Model (HMM). It worked well with common low resolution webcams and provided more than 96% accuracy.

Orozco et al. [15] described a system that used two appearance-based trackers, one for iris tracking and another to detect eyelids and blinking. This system is based on a simple appearance model and can operate in real time using low resolution camera images. Although the accuracy of this method in iris tracking and detecting eyelids is reasonable, its performance in blink detection is poor. Bacivarov et al. [16] proposed a method that models properly the eye region for both eyes open and closed by using a statistical Active Appearance (AAM) based technique. It can be adapted to work in real-time. Divijak et al. [17] proposed a template-based method that uses user's eye dynamics and blink patterns. It can detect common cases of fatigue behavior with performance more than 95%.

Lee et al. [18] developed a new method for blink detection, which maintains its accuracy even if the facial pose changes. Firstly, the face and eye regions are detected. Secondly, the eye "open" and "closed" states are determined. Thirdly, the accuracy of determining the eye state when it is open or closed is increased and finally, the SVM classifier for determining the eye state is adaptively selected according to the facial rotation. The performance of eye-blink detection by this method is about 96%. Naveed et al. [19] presented an efficient eye tracking system having a feature of eye blink detection for controlling an interface. His system is able to track eye movements efficiently and accurately by using the pupil portion and can accurately detect eye blinks whether voluntary and involuntary. The system can track eye portion with the 90% detection accuracy. The system is expanded to work in real time using recorded videos.

Mai K. Galab et al. [20] proposed a non-intrusive system for detecting eye blinks accurately without any restriction on the background. No manual initialization is required and their system automatically classifies the eye as either open or closed at each video frame. There are a few methods directly able to estimate EBR. In most of the described methods, their overall performance is greatly variable respect to the accuracy of feature localization because they detect blinks by localizing eye parts. If we use low quality webcam images as input, achieving good accuracy is difficult. Additionally, real-time limitation prevents us from using sophisticated eye localization techniques.

3. Proposed method

This section presents an accurate adaptive EBR estimation algorithm that can perform its estimation using low resolution webcams with very low
computational cost under variable lighting conditions. The first step in analyzing a blink is to locate eyes. To accomplish this, we suppose that a large face image is available. Each frame of the input video is processed and the location of eye is found. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of the method. Our method is in green area of this chart. The first block captures a RGB color image and the second one detects or tracks the face region of the input image. The third block localizes the eye regions in the predetermined region of the detected face area. The final block uses our method and calculates a value to determine the eye blink parameters and then by analyzing it, we can estimate eye blink rate.

Figure 1: Block diagram of the proposed method

3.1. Detecting face and eye regions
Face and eye regions detection is the first step of the system framework. In the proposed system the Viola Jones algorithm [21] is applied for face detection and tracking. The basic idea of this algorithm is to slide a window across the image and evaluate a face model at every location. In comparison with AdaBoost [22], the Viola Jones algorithm is more efficient for tracking in real-time systems with multiple image frames. This algorithm can detect multi faces and finds target face with the existing of other people or objects. It can track different types of facial views. Viola Jones is characterized by being extremely fast and achieving high detection rates. Each frame of the input video is processed to detect the locations of the user’s face and their left and right eye. We use a set of simple geometrical constraints to verify the detected regions. Our method supposes that eyes are located in the second quarter of the head and uses head angle to approximate its location.

3.2. EBR estimation
The first step in the estimation part is to extract eye blink parameters. Figure 2 presents the different states of eye. Any eye state change from open eye to closed and respectively closed eye to open in a limited time can detect as a blink. The states of eye have different appearance properties. If eye is closed, iris and pupil will be invisible, but if it is open, part of iris or pupil will normally be visible. In addition, pupil is the darkest area of eye region, so iris and pupil can provide important information for the states of eye. Using these facts, we can say that if eye is more open then there will be more dark pixels in an eye image. Our method uses this rule to detect eye blink.

Figure 2: The different states of eye

Our method gives an eye image as input and uses its intensity. The luminance component (Y) of YCbCr color space is chosen as the eye intensity image. The reasons for this selection are as follows:

1. The luminance component of YCbCr is independent of color, so it can be employed
to solve the illumination and eye color variation problem.

2. In comparison with the components of the other color spaces, the luminance component of YCbCr has a relatively higher contrast compared with skin and the white area of the eye.

Therefore, the Y channel of the eye image is used as the intensity image instead of its grey scale version. We use this intensity image to calculate \( \tau \). \( \tau \) is a key intensity level that is changed by eyelid movements. Therefore, it can determine the main states of the eye, open or closed, together with all the intermediate states such as partial blinks, squints, etc.

### 3.2.1. Computing \( \tau \)

For computing \( \tau \) we use a cumulative histogram of the eye intensity image that is calculated by the following steps:

1. Take an eye image. (Figures 3.a.1 and 3.b.1)
2. Extract its luminance component (Y). (Figures 3.a.2 and 3.b.2)
3. Apply a smoothing median filter. (Figures 3.a.3 and 3.b.3)
4. Compute the cumulative histogram \( H \) of the filtered image (Figures 3.a.4 and 3.b.4).

The cumulative histogram can be found by integrating the histogram of each of the ROI by using (1):

\[
H(L) = \sum_{r=0}^{L} h(r) \tag{1}
\]

Where \( h(r) \) presents the histogram of the probability of occurrence of intensity level \( r \) and \( 0 < r < 255 \).

Figure 3 shows the cumulative histogram of the two main eye states. It can be seen that there is a shift in bars between these two states and this provides a direct relation with the distance of these bars from the origin. Now, we can calculate \( \tau \) as described as follows:

\[
\tau = r_{\max} \text{ that } H(r_{\max}) \leq T_r \tag{2}
\]

Where \( \tau \) is the maximum intensity level that its cumulative histogram value is less than \( T_r \). \( T_r \) is a certain threshold value. Tuning \( T_r \) can improve execution time and estimation accuracy and robustness, but the sensitivity of the overall system respect to this parameter is low. Figure 4 shows an example of the variations of \( \tau_{\max} \) respect to \( T_r \) for three illumination conditions.

\[
\Delta \tau = \tau(n) - \tau(n-1) \tag{3}
\]

Where \( n \) is the video frame number.

Figure 3: Steps of calculating \( \tau \) for an open eye (a.1-a.4) and closed eye (b.1-b.4)

We use \( \Delta \tau \) to measure the distance between different states of the eye. The change of eye state produces a mutation in \( \Delta \tau \). Figure 5 shows an example of \( \tau \) and \( \Delta \tau \) graphs for a participant left eye that includes slow blinks. In the graphs of \( \Delta \tau \), we
can see that there are some points with maximum value. The maximum values appear in the frames in which the subject changes the state of his/her left eye. Therefore, we can use \( \Delta t' \) to detect blinks directly without need to detect any extra feature.

**Figure 4**: An example of the variations of \( \tau_{max}' \) respect to \( T_r \) for three illumination conditions.

**Figure 5**: Example of \( \tau' \) and \( \Delta \tau' \) graphs for a participant eye

### 3.2.2. Computing \( T_d \)

The application of \( \Delta t' \), in the states of the EBR estimation needs a solution to find a threshold value to recognize and count needle pulses of \( \Delta t' \). In different illumination, \( \Delta t_{max}' \) has different magnitude and when the light of the room is higher, \( \Delta t_{max}' \) variations are bigger. Figure 6 shows an example of these variations of \( \Delta t_{max}' \) with respect to \( T_r \) for three light conditions.

**Figure 6**: Variations of \( \Delta t_{max}' \) respect to \( T_r \) for three light conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light intensity</th>
<th>Mean eye image intensity</th>
<th>Magnitude peaks</th>
<th>( T_{min} )</th>
<th>( T_{max} )</th>
<th>( T_d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>51.75</td>
<td>[10,19]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.66</td>
<td>[12,24]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.81</td>
<td>[15,18]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.77</td>
<td>[17,24]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.06</td>
<td>[21,27]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>102.72</td>
<td>[20,28]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123.91</td>
<td>[22,34]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131.81</td>
<td>[22,40]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141.30</td>
<td>[28,38]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>167.19</td>
<td>[30,44]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to these variations, to detect blink needle pulses that are shown in fig 5, we need an appropriate threshold value for magnitude in every illumination condition. This threshold filters small needle pulses. Our method must find this threshold automatically and without any reconfiguration. To accomplish this, we use a relation between the amount of the illumination and the threshold value. As it’s shown in fig 7, in every situation, the mean of intensity is different. The desired threshold value, \( T_d \), is calculated by the use of the following formula:

\[
T_d = \frac{T_{min} + T_{max}}{2} + 1 \tag{4}
\]

Where, \( T_d \) is the minimum pulse strength for filtering small needle pulses can help us to detect changes of
the eye states in the current frame. $T_{\text{min}}$ is chosen in such a way that it must be bigger than the maximum of the tiny magnitude peaks of $\Delta r'$. $T_{\text{max}}$ is one unit smaller than the minimum of the main magnitude peaks of $\Delta r'$. Table 1 shows an example of the variation of the magnitude peaks of $\Delta r'$ and lower and upper boundaries of the proper threshold values, $T_{\text{min}}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$.

Table 1 shows an example of the variation of the magnitude peaks of $\Delta r'$ and lower and upper boundaries of the proper threshold values, $T_{\text{min}}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.7542</td>
<td>61.6605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.8127</td>
<td>74.7774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.0628</td>
<td>123.9126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.9362</td>
<td>141.6144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Mean intensities of an eye image in several different illumination conditions

Figure 8 shows threshold values of table 1. We can see the ranges of threshold values and an approximation curve for these thresholds that is drawn in red. As can be seen in Figure 8, we can approximate desired threshold value by an exponential function presented by (5):

$$T_d = T_0 + k(1 - e^{-a(I - f_0)})$$  \(5\)

Where, $T_d$ is the constant desired threshold for a dark room, $T_0$ is the minimum mean intensity of the Y channel and is constant and $I$ is the mean intensity of the current frame. ‘$k$’ and ‘$a$’ are constant coefficients. A simple way for computing ‘$k$’ and ‘$a$’ is finding the best threshold value for 3 lighting conditions (bright, medium and dark) to achieve the minimum false detection and computing ‘$a$’ and ‘$k$’ using codes like Figure 9.

Figure 8: An example of selecting threshold values

Algorithm computeCoefficients{
  m $\leftarrow$ mean intensity of eye image in 3 light condition (1:dark , 2:medium , 3:bright)
  Ti $\leftarrow$ Threshold values for 3 light conditions (1:dark , 2:medium , 3:bright)
  I0 $\leftarrow$ m(1): mean intensity of eye image in dark room
  desiredError $\leftarrow$ desired error (for example 0.5)
  k $\leftarrow$ Ti(3)-Ti(1)
  E $\leftarrow$ desiredError
  for a = 0 to 10 with step 0.001
    n $\leftarrow$ 0
    for i $\leftarrow$ 1 to 3
      T(i) $\leftarrow$ (k*(1-exp(-a*(m(i)-I0))))+Ti(i)
      e(i)=abs(T(i)-Ti(i))
      if e(i)<= desiredError
        n $\leftarrow$ n+1
        if n>=3
          tmpE $\leftarrow$ E
          E $\leftarrow$ (e(2)+e(3))/2
          if E < tmpE
            a_{desired} $\leftarrow$ a
          end if
        end if
      else
        break inner loop
      end if
    end for
  end for
  return k, a_{desired}
}

Figure 9: Pseudo code for computing ‘$a$’ and ‘$k$’
In (5), all constant parameters are independent of the hardware. Figure 10 shows the estimated \( T_d \) value for a simple video sequence with some blinks.

![Figure 10: An example of \( \Delta T \) with marked threshold](image)

3.2.3. Counting eye blinks to estimation

After determining the \( \Delta T \) and \( T_d \), our method uses these values to EBR estimation. Actually, EBR is equal to the number of sequential positive and negative pairs of needle pulses in \( \Delta T \) that are bigger than \( T_d \).

4. Experimental Results

The hardware of our approach is a webcam connected to a laptop. We employed simple hardware to evaluate the prototype system and to discover how the system can work with low cost components that could be affordable and function well with any other system.

Experimental results were obtained using Microsoft LifeCam VX-700 with resolution of 800 * 600, and a Dell Inspiron 6400 2.0GHz Centrino Dual Core with 2 GB RAM laptop. The proposed approach was implemented by Microsoft Visual C# .net using ‘EMGU.CV’ library for image processing. In our experiments, we use \( k=12 \) and \( a=0.05 \). While preliminary tests have shown that the proposed approach works well in any environment, all the results reported here were obtained indoors without lighting control.

Table 2 shows the average execution time of each part for a single frame using our C#.net program. Table 2 shows that the EBR estimation part is very fast and its execution time is about 80 micro-seconds.

### Table 2: Average Execution Time of Each Part of Our Method for a Single Frame

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm Parts</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Face detection (Using Viola Jones algorithm)</td>
<td>17.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face tracking</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye detection</td>
<td>10.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye tracking</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau ) Calculation</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBR Estimation</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total average execution (Face &amp; Eye Tracking + Blink Detection)</td>
<td>16.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In another experiment, the performance of the present method is compared with some recent methods and Table 3 presents the results. We can see that the accuracy of our method is higher than other methods and the proposed method is much faster.

### Table 3: Comparison of Some Blink Detection Methods with Proposed Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>EBR Estimation accuracy</th>
<th>EBR Estimation Time (milliseconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>90.25%</td>
<td>[3.3 – 5 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>94.2 %</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>98.91%</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In table 3, the performance of our method was measured by performing an experiment with a total of 17 participants (14 males and 3 females) with a wide range of ages and some with individuals wearing glasses. The participants were sitting approximately 70 cm away from the camera. We ask them to fix their heads in the front of camera. They were permitted to blink involuntary or after we ask them to blink. In this experiment, our application captured 18000 frames in 10 minutes from every subject and processed these frames in real time. Table 4 shows the results. The EBR estimation and its error and estimation accuracy are calculated using (6) and (7) and (8), respectively.

\[
EBR_{est} = \frac{TP + FP}{\tau} \quad (6)
\]
\[ e_{est} = |EBR_{act} - EBR_{est}| \quad (7) \]

Estimation Accuracy (%) = \(100 \times (1 - \frac{e_{est}}{EBR_{act}})\) \quad (8)

Where \(t\) is experiment duration in minutes and \(e_{est}\) is estimation error and \(EBR_{act}\) is user actual EBR and \(EBR_{est}\) is estimated EBR. The experimental results show that the proposed method has 98.91% estimation accuracy. From the experiments, two situations occasionally decrease the accuracy of our EBR estimation algorithm. The first one is the result of a swift movement of the user's head (Figure 11.a). In this situation, our algorithm is unable to correctly determine the parameters of eye blink. This is because eye images are blurry so that the skin colors blend with the colors of the eye areas. The second situation is when the user bows his/her head or changes the focus to the lower area (with respect to the camera position) so that the eyelids are captured partially close (Figure 11.b).

Table 4: Blink Detection Accuracy of Our Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Id</th>
<th>Total Blinks (In 5 minutes)</th>
<th>Actual EBR (EBR_{act})</th>
<th>Blinks</th>
<th>Not Blink</th>
<th>Estimated EBR (EBR_{est})</th>
<th>EBR Estimation Error (e_{est})</th>
<th>EBR Estimation Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of true needle pulses (TP)</td>
<td>Number of missed blinks (FN)</td>
<td>Number of wrong needle pulses (FP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Average: 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TP: Number of blinks that are correctly detected (true positive)
FN: Number of blinks that are missed (false negative)
FP: Number of wrong reported blinks (false positive)
5. Conclusions

This paper presented a simple real time EBR estimation algorithm that estimates eye blink rate accurately with very low computational cost in real time. The proposed method consisted of these steps: The first step was detecting or tracking the face region in a captured frame. The second step was localizing the eye regions in the predetermined region of the detected face area. In the next step, we calculated a value to determine the eye state and then by analyzing it, EBR were calculated. The proposed approach improved both estimation time and accuracy in comparison with the most relevant methods like the Mai et al method[20] with 98% accuracy or the Matjaž et al method[25] with 94.2% overall accuracy.

6. Future Work

In future, better methods for light invariant ability should be developed according to our presented system. For the next level of improvement some recommendations are given below.

- This system can be implemented by microprocessors and system can be run efficiently.
- The technology of face and eye tracking should be improved for accurate and fast detection.
- This method can be used in many applications like detecting a driver’s drowsiness, health recommendation systems, depression detection, stress detection and exc.
- The visual information can be integrated with the proposed system to improve detection accuracy.
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